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## Relations between repetitiveness measures



Fig 9. "Relations between the compressibility measures. A solid arrow from $X$ to $Y$ means that $X=\mathrm{O}(Y)$ for all string families. For all solid and dotted arrows, there are string families where $X=\mathrm{o}(Y)$, with the exceptions of $\gamma \rightarrow \boldsymbol{b}$ and $c \rightarrow z$."
Our focus is on the relation $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{b}$.
$\square \gamma$ : size of smallest string attractor [Kempa\&Prezza 2018]

- String attractor: a set of positions of a string, such that any substring has an occurrence that contains one of the positions.
$\square b$ : size of smallest Bidirectional Macro Scheme (BMS) [Storer\&Szymanski 1982]
- BMS: A partitioning of a string into phrases, such that each phrase of length $>1$ can be copied from another occurrence in the string, and the source of each position can be traced back to a phrase of length 1.

For Thue-Morse words, [Kutsukake et al. 2020] proved $\gamma=4$ and conjectured $b=\Theta(\log N)$, which would imply $\gamma=o(b)$.
We prove Kutsukake et al.'s conjecture and for the first time show the gap between $b$ and $\gamma$, i.e., $\gamma$ is not always reachable by dictionary compression.

## Bidirectional Macro Scheme

[Storer\&Szymanski 1982]
$\square\left(\left(l_{1}, s_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(l_{k}, s_{k}\right)\right) \in(\mathbf{N} \times(\Sigma \cup \mathbf{N}))^{k}$ is a BMS for string $w$ if:
$\square|w|=l_{1}+\ldots+l_{k}$

- Let $p_{i}=\sum_{1 \leq j<i} l_{j}$

If $l_{i}=1, s_{i}=w\left[p_{i}\right]$
If $l_{i}>1, s_{i}$ is an integer
s.t. $w\left[s_{i} . s_{i}+l_{i}-1\right]=w\left[p_{i} . p_{i}+l_{i}-1\right]$
(partitioning into phrases)
(ground phrase)
(source of phrase)
$\square$ Except for ground phrases, the sources of the phrases implicitly define source positions $f(i)$ for all positions $i$.

$((2,3),(2,4),(1, b),(1, a),(2,3))$
size 5
$\square$ A BMS is valid, if $w$ can be reconstructed $\Leftrightarrow f$ is acyclic: i.e., for any $i, f^{j}(i)=\perp$ for some $j \geq 1$.

## Thue-Morse words

Definition:
The $n$-th Thue-Morse word $t_{n}(n \geq 1)$ is:

$$
t_{n}=\mu^{n}(\mathrm{a})
$$

where $\mu$ is a morphism defined by
$\mu(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{ab}, \mu(\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{ba}$.
$t_{1}=\mathrm{ab}$
$t_{2}=\mathrm{abba}$
$t_{3}=$ abbabaab
$t_{4}=$ abbabaabbaababba
$t_{5}=$ abbabaabbaababbabaababbaabbabaab
$\left|t_{n}\right|=2^{n}$

## Main results

## Theorem

For any $n \geq 2$, the size of a smallest BMS for the $n$-th Thue-Morse word $t_{n}$ is $n+2$.

Corollary
For any $\gamma \geq 4$, there exists a family of strings with smallest string attractor size $\gamma$ s.t. the size $b$ of a smallest BMS of the string and its length $N$ satisfies

$$
b=\Theta(\gamma \log (N / \gamma))
$$

where $N$ is the length of each string.

## Theorem 1 (Upper Bound)

For any $n \geq 2$, there exists a BMS of size $n+2$ for the $n$-th Thue-Morse word $t_{n}$.

## Proof:

Proof by induction.
There exists a BMS of size $2+2=4$ for $t_{2}=$ abba.
Given a BMS of size $k$ for $t_{n}$, we show how to construct a BMS of size $k+1$ for $t_{n+1}$.

## Size $k$ BMS for $t_{n} \rightarrow$ Size $k+1$ BMS for $t_{n+1}$



1. Apply $\mu$ to each phrase, and double source positions.
$\square$ with exception: for two ground phrases $a$, $b$, make 2 ground phrases each from $\mu(\mathrm{a})$ and $\mu(\mathrm{b})$ : total 4 ground phrases.
$\square$ In $t_{n+1}$, the parity (even/odd) of a position $i$ and its source $f(i)$ are equal:
$\triangleright$ even position $\rightarrow$ even position
$\triangleright$ odd position $\rightarrow$ odd position
2. Merge ground phrases $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ created from $\mu(\mathrm{a})$, into a new phrase with source position 3.

- This does not introduce cycles because a at pos $3 \rightarrow 2 i_{\mathrm{b}}+1$ b at pos. $4 \rightarrow 2 i_{\mathrm{b}}$
\# of phrases is $k+1$.



## Theorem 2 (Lower Bound)

For any $n \geq 2$, a smallest BMS of $t_{n}$ has size $\geq n+2$.

## Proof Idea:

Go in the "opposite" direction as Theorem 1.
Proof by induction:
$\square$ Smallest BMS for $t_{2}$ has size $2+2=4$.

Seems difficult to do (if not impossible)
$\square$ Assume Theorem 2 holds for all integers up to some $n \geq 2$.

## Given a BMS of size $\boldsymbol{k}$ for $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}$, IF we can construct a BMS of size $\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{1}$ for $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, then,

$k \geq n+3$ must hold, since $k-1 \geq n+2$.

## Theorem 2.

For any $n \geq 2$, a smallest BMS of $t_{n}$ has size $\geq n+2$.

## Proof Idea (modified):

Go in the "opposite" direction as Theorem 1.
Proof by induction:
$\square$ Smallest BMSs for $t_{2}, t_{3}, t_{4}$ resp. have sizes 4, 5, 6 .
$\square$ Assume Theorem 2 holds for all integers up to some $n \geq 4$.

## Given a BMS of size $\boldsymbol{k}$ for $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}$, IF we can construct a BMS of size $k-i$ for $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1-i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2,3\}$.

$k \geq n+3$ must hold, since $k-i \geq(n+1-i)+2=n-i+3$.

## Size $k$ BMS for $t_{n+1} \rightarrow$ Size $k^{\prime}$ BMS for $t_{n}$

## Modify BMS for $t_{n+1}$ in the following steps:

| $t_{n+1}$ | a | b | b | a | b | a | a | b | b | a | a | b | a | b | b | a | $\cdots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Shift phrase boundaries left/right (almost) keeping same phrase source. Difficult part: to ensure

- NOT to introduce cycles
- \# of phrases is reduced

Note:

- we can discard sources for length-2 phrases starting at even position


| $a$ | $b$ | $b$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ | $b$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

even positions

Those that don't start a phrase at an even position

1. Remove "bad" phrase boundaries

1-1. Eliminate ground phrases
1-2. Eliminate phrases $a b a, b a b$
1-3. Eliminate remaining bad phrase boundaries
2. Apply inverse morphism $\mu^{-1}$ (halve phrases and sources)

Problems when shifting a bad phrase boundary
If the parity (odd/even) of the source changes, we cannot always extend the phrase and keep the same source.


Problems when shifting a bad phrase boundary
If the parity of the source is the same, we can keep the same phrase source, and a bad phrase boundary can be shifted to extend the phrase.


However, cycles may be introduced.


## 1-1. Eliminating ground phrases

Eliminating a ground phrase a at odd position $\left(i_{\mathrm{b}}+1\right)$
$\square$ If source $f\left(i_{b}\right)$ of left $\mathbf{b}$ is even
$\square$ merge a with left phrase
$=$ update source of a to $f\left(i_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+1$
$\square$ Repeat while source of $\mathbf{b}$ is even (There is always an a to its right)
These changes don't introduce cycles since $\mathbf{b}$ was not in a cycle.


What do we do, when the source of $\mathbf{b}$ is odd?

## Key observation

## Parity Lemma

The parity of occurrences in $t_{n}$ can only change for the 6 strings:
a, b, ab, ba, aba, bab

## Proof:

1. aa and bb can occur only at odd positions.

- Due to morphism $(\mu(a)=a b, \mu(b)=b a)$, even positions start with either $a b$ or $b a$.

2. abab and baba can only occur at even positions.

Otherwise, due to 1 ., the string would contain a cubes:

even positions

- However, Thue-Morse words are known to be cube free.

3. The 6 strings are the only strings that do not contain $a a, b b, a b a b$ or baba as substrings.

## 1-1. Eliminating ground phrases: Terminal Cases


even positions

Let $j$ be smallest integer s.t. $f^{j}\left(i_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ is odd (or is $\perp$ ).
Only following cases due to parity lemma. (occurrence of b in aba, bab, ba, ab, b)

Procedure terminates, because
\# of bad phrase boundaries strictly decreases.

| Done since phrase ba doesn't need source. Recurse to eliminate a. | Done since phrase ba doesn't need source. Recurse to eliminate b. | Done since phrase ba doesn't need source. Middle boundary only made the first time. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Done since phrase ba doesn't need source | Done since phrase ba doesn't need source. Recurse to eliminate a. | Done since phrase ba doesn't need source |

## 1-2. Eliminating Phrases: aba, bab



1-3. Eliminating remaining bad phrase boundaries
All remaining "bad" phrase boundaries can be removed by moving them to the right, keeping the sources of phrases because only cases are:
$\square$ length-2 phrases where both boundaries are bad

$\square$ phrases with bad boundaries whose occurrences always have the same parity
(no phrases aba, bab or ground phrase)

## Changes in \# of phrases $\#_{\text {tot }}$ in terms of \# of ground phrases $\#_{g}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{\mathrm{b}} \\
& \mathrm{~b}|\mathrm{a}|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\#_{\mathrm{g}}:-1
$$

even positions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#_{\mathrm{g}}: \pm 0 \\
& \#_{\text {tot }}: \pm 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\#_{\text {tot }}: \pm 0$ first time, -1 otherwise
Can also happen for abab

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\#_{\mathrm{g}}:-1 \\
\#_{\text {tot }}:-1
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \#_{\mathrm{g}}:-2 \\
& \#_{\mathrm{tot}}:-1
\end{aligned} \quad k^{\prime} \leq k-\left[\left(\#_{\mathrm{g}}-2\right) / 2\right\rceil
$$



## \# of phrases


$k_{n} \leq k_{n+1}-\left\lceil\left(\#_{\mathrm{g}}(n+1)-2\right) / 2\right\rceil$

- If $k_{n} \leq k_{n+1}-1$, just choose $i=1$ and we are done.
- If $k_{n}=k_{n+1}$, then $\#_{\mathrm{g}}(n+1)=2$ and case $\mathbb{A}$ was applied twice.
- Two phrases of ab and two phrases of ba are created. Therefore $\#_{g}(n) \geq 4$
$k_{n-1} \leq k_{n}-\left\lceil\left(\#_{\mathrm{g}}(n)-2\right) / 2\right\rceil$
$\leq k_{n}-1=k_{n+1}-1$
If $k_{n-1} \leq k_{n+1}-2$, just choose $i=2$ and we are done.
- If $k_{n-1}=k_{n}-1$, then $\#_{\mathrm{g}}(n)=4$ and case A was applied twice, and case was B applied once.

Therefore $\#_{\mathrm{g}}(n-1) \geq 5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{n-2} & \leq k_{n-1}-\left\lceil\left(\#_{\mathrm{g}}(n-1)-2\right) / 2\right\rceil \\
& \leq k_{n-1}-2=k_{n+1}-3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary

$\square$ Size of smallest BMS for $t_{n}: b\left(t_{n}\right)=n+2$ Proof: given size $k$ BMS for $t_{n}$, we can make
$\square$ size $k+1$ BMS for $t_{n+1}$

- size $k-i$ BMS for $t_{n-i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2,3\}$.
$\square$ Since $\gamma\left(t_{n}\right)=4$ for any $n \geq 4$ [Kutsukake et al. 2020] $\left\{t_{n} \mid n \geq 4\right\}$ is a family of strings such that $\gamma=o(b)$
$\square$ Concatenating $t_{n}$ over different binary alphabets gives, for any $\gamma \geq 4$, a family of strings such that: $b=\Theta(\gamma \log N / \gamma)$, where $N$ is length of string.
Showed for the first time the gap between $b$ and $\gamma$, i.e., $\gamma$ is not always reachable by dictionary compression.

